

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No.: 9876

Art Unit: 2672

Examiner: Chung, D. J.

Atty. Docket: 0077.20

RECEIVED

OCT 2 2 2002

Technology Center 2500

For:

Processor Having A Compare

Extension of an Instruction Set

Architecture

Appl. No. 09/364,786

Filed: July 30, 1999

Amendment And Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated **July 8, 2002** (PTO Prosecution File Wrapper Paper No. 7), Applicants submit the following Amendment and Remarks. This Amendment is provided in the following format:

- (A) A clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim along with clear instructions for entry;
- (B) Starting on a separate page, appropriate remarks and arguments. 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 and MPEP 714; and
- (C) Starting on a separate page, a marked-up version entitled: "Version with markings to show changes made."

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R.